

Published on Web 06/08/2006

C-C Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from a Zirconium(IV) Redox-Active Ligand Complex

Mason R. Haneline and Alan F. Heyduk*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697

Received February 15, 2006; E-mail: aheyduk@uci.edu

Transition-metal complexes that mediate the formation of carbon-carbon bonds provide an attractive strategy for constructing the basic carbon backbone of both molecules and extended oligomeric and polymeric structures. In particular, the C-C bondforming reactions of group 4 metallocene complexes have received considerable attention for their direct application to Ziegler-Natta polymerization strategies.¹ Two principal mechanistic pathways for C-C bond-forming reactions are accessible to these group 4 complexes: metathesis and migratory insertion, which operate without requiring redox changes at the metal center. In contrast, mid- and late-transition-metal ions promote a wide array of stoichiometric and catalytic X-Y bond-forming reactions by coupling a reductive elimination pathway to migratory insertion and metathesis pathways. A strategy for enabling a reductiveelimination-type reaction at an early transition-metal center would provide a new mechanistic pathway of group 4 complexes to mediate stoichiometric and catalytic X-Y bond-forming reactions.

One approach to enable redox-based bond-making and bondbreaking reactions for group 4 metals is to incorporate mild redox functionality in an auxiliary ligand set.² Recently, we reported a new ligand platform for this purpose in the zirconium(IV) complex $Zr^{IV}(ap)_2(solv)_2$ (1a, solv = THF; 1b, solv = Et₂O; $ap^{2-} = 2,4$ di-tert-butyl-6-tert-butylamidophenolate).³ Despite a formal d⁰ metal electron configuration, 1a reacts rapidly with chlorine to afford an oxidative-addition-type product, $Zr^{IV}Cl_2(isq)_2$ (isq⁻ = 2,4-di-*tert*butyl-6-tert-butylimino-semiquinone), in which halogen addition occurs at the metal center with concomitant oxidation of the ap²⁻ ligands to the imino-semiquinone form, isq⁻. Herein we report the complementary C-C bond-forming reaction, proving that a molecular reductive elimination pathway is accessible through the cooperative redox reactivity of a d⁰ metal and a redox-active ligand. The new diaryl zirconium complexes, $[Li(OEt_2)]_2[Zr^{IV}R_2(ap)_2]$ (3a, R = Ph; 3b, $R = p-MeC_6H_4$), react rapidly upon two-electron oxidation to extrude the biaryl product and form 1a via a putative diradical intermediate, Zr^{IV}R₂(isq)₂ (4). Oxidations of the analogous dimethyl derivative, [Li(OEt₂)]₂[Zr^{IV}Me₂(ap)₂] (3c), do not lead to clean ethane reductive elimination due to competing methyl radical expulsion pathways.

Zirconium(IV) complexes $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ were prepared by a one-pot, twostep procedure and isolated as crystalline orange and yellow solids. ZrCl₄ reacted rapidly with 2 equiv of apLi₂ in cold diethyl ether, affording a bright yellow solution of **1b**, which was treated immediately with 2 equiv of PhLi, *p*-MeC₆H₄Li, or MeLi to afford **3a**, **3b**, or **3c**, respectively. All compounds were isolated as microcrystalline solids in analytically pure form following filtration, solvent removal, and a pentane wash.

X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy were used to probe the molecular structures of **3a** and **3c** (see Figure 1 and Supporting Information). In the solid state, the zirconium centers of **3a** and **3c** adopt a six-coordinate geometry that is distorted only slightly from octahedral due to the small bite angle of the ap²⁻ ligands (\sim 76°).⁴



Figure 1. (a) ORTEP of the $[Zr^{IV}Ph_2(ap)_2]^{2-}$ anion of **3a** with ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and two $[Li(OEt_2)_2]^+$ cations have been omitted for clarity.

The C_{ipso} –Zr– C_{ipso} angle for the phenyl ligands of **3a** is 87.30-(14)°, whereas the methyl groups of **3c** are set further apart, with a C–Zr–C angle of 92.71(10)°. The lithium cations coordinate to the zirconium complex, interacting with both the ap^{2–} ligands and either the phenyl (**3a**) or methyl (**3c**) ligands in the solid state (see Supporting Information). In solution, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy indicate that the immediate coordination environment about zirconium is preserved. Notably, **3a** shows only one set of ¹H NMR resonances for the phenyl ligands (δ 6.79, 6.91, 8.46 ppm), which are shifted downfield relative to free PhLi. The symmetry of the Zr–Ph resonance set indicates that rotation about the Zr–C_{ipso} bond must be fast in solution. In **3c**, the ¹H NMR resonances of the methyl ligands shift to higher frequency (δ –0.45 ppm) relative to those of free MeLi (δ –2.02 ppm).

According to Scheme 1, oxidation of **3a** in THF produces biphenyl and zirconium complex **1a**. In a typical experiment, **3a** was dissolved in dry, degassed THF and cooled to -78 °C. Solid [Cp₂Fe][PF₆] (2 equiv) was added to the solution, inducing an immediate color change from the bright orange of **3a** to dark greenish brown. Upon warming, the solution color lightened to orange–yellow, and GC–MS analysis provided a yield of 0.74(4) equiv of biphenyl.⁵ The ¹H NMR spectrum of the nonvolatile products showed clean formation of ferrocene and zirconium complex **1a**.

The reaction of **3a** with ferrocenium was also monitored by UV– vis absorption spectroscopy. Treatment of a THF solution of **3a** with $[Cp_2Fe][PF_6]$ at -78 °C resulted in the growth of a broad absorption centered at 740 nm. Upon warming, this absorption disappears to give a spectrum consistent with the products observed by GC–MS and NMR spectroscopy. On the basis of the lowtemperature UV–vis spectrum, we propose that $Zr^{IV}Ph_2(isq)_2$ (**4**), shown in Scheme 1, acts as an intermediate between **3a** and **1a**. Putative intermediate **4** is proposed by analogy to the dihalide Scheme 1



complex $Zr^{IV}Cl_2(isq)_2$, which we have characterized by X-ray crystallography and UV-vis spectroscopy ($\lambda_{max} = 790 \text{ nm}$).³

Crossover experiments conducted with diphenyl complex **3a** and ditolyl complex **3b** gave exclusive formation of the reductive elimination products. Hence, a 1:1 mixture of **3a:3b** reacted with $[Cp_2Fe][PF_6]$ to afford 0.61 equiv of biphenyl, 0.71 equiv of bitolyl, and less than 0.01 equiv of the crossover product PhC₆H₄Me.⁵ This result indicates that the primary C–C bond-forming step must occur at a single zirconium center.

Dimethyl zirconium(IV) complex **3c** reacts with ferrocenium in THF to afford low yields of methane and ethane gas. Under the same conditions used for the oxidations of **3a** and **3b**, only 0.23 molar equiv of gas could be isolated. GC analysis showed the mixture to be 21% ethane and 79% methane. For oxidations carried out in THF- d_8 , CH₄ was the only observed methane isotopologue; similarly, oxidation of **3c**- d_6 in protio THF produced only CD₃H. These results are consistent with the initial expulsion of a CH₃[•] radical, followed by hydrogen atom abstraction from a chelating ap^{2–} ligand. Similar Zr–CH₃ bond homolysis reactivity has been observed for dimethyl and dibenzyl zirconocene complexes upon oxidation with ferrocenium oxidants.⁶



Authentic carbon–carbon bond-forming reductive elimination reactions are uncommon outside of the platinum group triad. Organometallic complexes of platinum⁷ and palladium^{8,9} provide key examples of C–C bond-forming reductive elimination reactivity, which is enabled by a two-electron redox change at the metal center. In d⁰ transition-metal complexes, oxidatively induced C–C bond-forming reactions have been exploited in alkyne cross-coupling strategies,¹⁰ but radical expulsion rather than reductive elimination appears to be the dominant path leading to cross-coupled products. Oxidation of group 4 metallacyclobutane complexes results in C–C bond formation and cyclopropane elimination, which

has been shown to proceed with retention of configuration at the *ipso*-carbon centers.¹¹ Here the mechanism has been proposed to include the expulsion of a radical cation pair upon one-electron oxidation of the metallacyclobutane complex.^{12,13}

To realize an authentic reductive elimination reaction, twoelectron valence changes must be enabled at the metal complex. The smooth C–C bond-forming reductive elimination reactivity reported here suggests that the $[Zr^{IV}(ap)_2]$ fragment may accommodate such valence changes via putative intermediate **4**. Conversely, the recent oxidation chemistry of (Nacnac)Ti^{III}(CH₂/Bu)₂ illustrates a facile one-electron H-atom abstraction pathway in a complex that can accommodate one-electron valence changes.¹⁴ The thermodynamics of the reductive elimination reaction shown in Scheme 1 should be controlled by the relative reduction potentials of the catecholate ligands and the eliminated X–Y product. Along these lines, we are developing the chemistry of amide and alkoxide derivatives of **3a–c** and derivatives of the ap^{2–} ligand in order to explore the scope and energetic landscape of this reaction.

Acknowledgment. We thank Kevin Gervais, Dr. Simone Meinardi, and Prof. Don Blake for their help with the GC analysis of methane and ethane, and UC Irvine for funding.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed experimental procedures, GC and GC–MS traces, UV–vis absorption spectra, and X-ray diffraction data for **3a** and **3c**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- For recent reviews on this topic, see: (a) Coates, G. W. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1223–1252. (b) Grubbs, R. H.; Coates, G. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 85–93. (c) Bolton, P. D.; Mountford, P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 355–366.
- (2) For a report on a complementary strategy in which redox-active ferrocenyl groups are included on the eliminated substituents, see: Hayashi, Y.; Osawa, M.; Kobayashi, K.; Wakatsuki, Y. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1617– 1618.
- (3) Blackmore, K. J.; Ziller, J. W.; Heyduk, A. F. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 5559–5561.
- (4) Metal geometry in d⁰ organometallics ranges from octahedral (trigonal anti-prismatic) to trigonal prismatic depending on both steric and electronic factors. See for example: Vaid, T. P.; Veige, A. S.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Glassey, W. V.; Wolczanski, P. T.; Liable-Sands, L. M.; Rheingold, A. L.; Cundari, T. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 10067–10079.
- (5) According to ¹H NMR spectroscopy, the balance of the aryl product appears to be Ar-H ($Ar = C_6H_5$ or C_6H_4Me), possibly formed by a competing radical pathway.
- (6) Borkowsky, S. L.; Jordan, R. F.; Hinch, G. D. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1268–1274.
- (7) Aubert, C.; Buisine, O.; Malacria, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 813–834 and references therein.
- (8) Crabtree, R. H. *The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals*, 3rd ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2001.
- (9) Diederich, F.; Stang, P. J. Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998.
- (10) Ishikawa, T.; Ogawa, A.; Hirao, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 575, 76-79.
- (11) Burk, M. J.; Tumas, W.; Ward, M. D.; Wheeler, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6133–6135.
- (12) Burk, M. J.; Staley, D. L.; Tumas, W. *Chem. Commun.* **1990**, 890-810.
 (13) C-C bond-forming reactivity has been reported upon oxidation of Cp₂-ZrPh₂; see refs 11 and 12. Under the conditions we report, mixtures of Cp₂ZrPh₂ and [Cp₂Fe]⁺ did not afford biphenyl, consistent with the results reported in ref 6.
- (14) Basuli, F.; Bailey, B. C.; Tomaszewski, J.; Huffman, J. C.; Mindiola, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6052–6053.

JA061107A